



Paper No. 6

ANDRE L. MARAIS
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
SEVENTH FLOOR
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1026

MAILED

NOV 14 2002

Technology Center 2100

In re Application of: Colleen A. Barton et al.
Application No. 09/657,759
Filed: September 8, 2000
For: METHOD AND LOGIC FOR
CAPTURING AND ANALYZING CONDUIT
DATA

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition, filed October 1, 2002 under 37 C.F.R. §102(d) and M.P.E.P. § 708.02(VIII): Accelerated Examination, to make the above-identified application special.

The Petition is **DISMISSED**.

M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section VIII which sets out the prerequisites for a grantable petition for Accelerated Examination under 37 C.F.R. § 102(d) states in relevant part:

A new application (one which has not received any examination by the examiner) may be granted special status provided that applicant (and this term includes applicant's attorney or agent) complies with each of the following items:

- (a) Submits a petition to make special accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h);
- (b) Presents all claims directed to a single invention, or if the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status;
- (c) Submits a statement(s) that a pre-examination search was made, listing the field of search by class and subclass, publication, Chemical Abstracts, foreign patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement;
- (d) Submits one copy each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record; and
- (e) Submits a detailed discussion of the references, which discussion points out, with the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111 (b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.

In those instances where the request for this special status does not meet all the prerequisites set forth above, ***applicant will be notified and the defects in the request will be stated.*** The application will remain in the status of a new application awaiting action in its regular turn. In those instances where a request is defective in one or more respects, applicant will be given one opportunity to perfect the request in a renewed petition to make special. If perfected, the request will then be granted. If not perfected in the first renewed petition, any additional renewed petitions to make special may or may not be considered at the discretion of the Group Special Program Examiner.


Applicant's submission is deficient in that it merely reproduces the Abstracts provided in each reference with the exception of the first mentioned reference. The submission does not satisfy the requirement of (e) above. That is, it does not provide a ***detailed discussion*** of the references, which discussion points out, with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111 (b) and (c) of the references that are deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the pending claims.

In addition, the reference U.S. Patent No. 5,745,572 to James Press is not listed on the Form PTO 1449, Information Disclosure Statement under the requirement of (d) above.

Furthermore, the petition refers to an IDS filed on ***September 3, 1999***, appears to be in error. The instant patent application has a filing date of ***September 8, 2000***.

Accordingly, the Petition is **DISMISSED**. The application file is being forwarded to Central Files to await examination in its proper turn based on its effective filing date.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within two months of the mailing date of this decision.



Vincent A. Trans
Special Program Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture & Software
703-305-9750